



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/618,954	07/19/2000	Edgar Allan Tu	FUSN1-01102US0	2140
28554	7590	02/24/2006		
VIERRA MAGEN MARCUS & DENIRO LLP 575 MARKET STREET SUITE 2500 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			EXAMINER COULTER, KENNETH R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2141

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant is requested to update the co-pending application information (with proper U.S. Application number information or U.S. Patent number information) on page 6 of the specification regarding attorney docket number MONG-00-001.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1 – 6 and 23 – 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kikinis (U.S. Pat. No. 6,167,120) (Apparatus and Methods for Home Networking).

Art Unit: 2141

3.1 Regarding claim 1, Kikinis discloses a system for providing access to a base device (Fig. 1, item 122) identified with a user of a remote client device (Fig. 1, items 130, 131, 132, 140, 141), said remote access system comprising:

a web server operatively coupled for communication with the remote client device accessed by the user (Fig. 1, items 123, 121, 110; col. 4, lines 30 – 55 “server 123”);
and

a user server operatively coupled to said web server and said remote client device (Fig. 1, items 100, 110, 121; col. 4, lines 30 – 55 “Home Server Unit 100”), said user server further configured to communicate data between the base device and the user of the remote client device (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 30 – 55; col. 2, lines 30 - 47), said user server further configured to communicate data with said base device via requests initiated by said base device (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 30 – 55; col. 2, lines 30 - 47).

3.2 Per claim 2, Kikinis teaches that said data communicated to the remote device is formatted by a web browser (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 39 – 43; col. 4, lines 5 – 17).

3.3 Regarding claim 3, Kikinis discloses that said data communicated to the remote device is further formatted for viewing on a personal computer (Abstract; Fig. 1; col. 7, lines 39 – 43; col. 4, lines 5 – 17).

Art Unit: 2141

3.4 Per claim 4, Kikinis teaches that said data communicated to the remote device is further formatted for viewing on a mobile telephone (Fig. 1, item 140; col. 4, lines 19 – 29 “telephone 140”).

3.5 Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kikinis discloses that said data communicated to the remote device is further formatted for viewing on an Internet appliance device (Fig. 1, items 130, 131; col. 4, line 64 – col. 5, line 12).

Kikinis does not explicitly disclose that said data communicated to the remote device is further formatted for viewing on a PDA.

It would have been inherent for the computers seen in Figure 1 (items 130 and 131) to be implemented as portable computers or PDAs since the wireless connection of portable computers (and other appliances) to a central home server is commonplace in the art.

3.6 Per claims 23 – 26, the rejection of claims 1 – 6 under 35 USC 102(e) (paragraphs 3.1 – 3.5 above) applies fully.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 6 and 23 - 26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Nishikawa et al. (U.S. Pat. Pub. No. 2002/0062365) (Control of Electronic Appliances Over Network)

Remote control of a home server and appliances (VCR, air conditioner, lights, camera, ...) utilizing the Internet (bad U.S. filing date of 6/13/01)

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth R. Coulter whose telephone number is 571 272-3879. The examiner can normally be reached on 5 4 9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2141

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

krc

STANLEY J. COOPER
Kenneth Cooper